

Al Policy and Practice: Concerns and Opportunities

> Patrycja Tempska patrycja@samurailabs.ai



The Scope of the Problem

The preponderance of verbal aggression online

- **34% of kids in the US** have experienced **cyberbullying at least once***
- 41% of internet users have experienced some form of verbal aggression online**
- During the pandemic, there was **900% increase in hate speech** on Twitter directed towards the Chinese.***
- Cyberbullying is associated with higher levels of depression, low self-esteem, behavioral problems, or suicidal thoughts among others****
- The prevalence of all kinds of verbal aggression online makes it seem more acceptable or lead to desensitization*****



* https://cyberbullying.org/Cyberbullying-Identification-Prevention-Response-2020.pdf

** https://www.statista.com/statistics/333942/us-internet-online-harassment-severity/

*** https://www.vice.com/en/article/n7jywd/anti-chinese-hate-speech-online-has-skyrocketed-since-the-coronavirus-crisis-began

- **** https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6278213/
 - *****https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29094365/



Gruesome Process of Content Moderation

THE UNDERWORLD OF ONLINE CONTENT MODERATION

The Cleaners: The hidden world of content moderators

The Cleaners explores the unseen world of content moderators - young men and women who work on behalf of the giant social media companies deciding what can and what cannot stay online.

Facebook moderator: 'Every day was a nightmare'



THE SCREEN BEHIND

content moderation in the shadows of social media

SARAH T. ROBERTS



Fostering Civil Conversations

Using dialogue agent to perform counter-speech

- counter-speech can be defined as a tactic of countering verbal aggression online by presenting alternate narrative rather than with censorship
- designed to reduce verbal aggression and setting positive communication norm





「シント



samurai LABS



Reddit User

Meet James Walker

43 Years Old

Jazz Music Fan

Active on Subreddits: MensRights & TooAfraidToAsk



Counter-speech

- Respectful Disapproval Induction of Descriptive Norm hurtful language."
- Abstract Norms Induction of Prescriptive Norm lots of courage"
- Induction of Empathy

"Some behaviors might be hard to get for some people but let's keep in mind there are people of flesh and blood on the other side of the screen."

"Howdy ho! I kind of understand your emotions. But most of us here express our points without

"Ability to express ourselves but with respect to others is a wonderful sign of character and takes"

The Diversity of Interventions

part 1

"i hear you, bro ", "dear friend ", "Fellow redditor,"] a = ["I kind of", " I suppose I ", "I think I ", "Let's say I ", "I somewhat ", "i guess I "] "commiserate with ", "am in tune with"] feelin' "]

Final result: more than 100K unique interventions

- Greeting patch = ["Hmm...", "alright,", "All right. ", "ah.. ", "ok,", "Ok.", "Gotcha mate ","yeah,", "Well.. ","Right,", "oh, I'm sorry.", "fine,", "Actually, ", "Hey mate! ", "My friend ", "hey bro ", "Hola compadre!", "hey there, partner", "Howdy!", "howdy ho!", "Hi :) ", "Good Day, sir!",
- **b** = ["understand ", "got ", "get", "can sympathize with ", "can empathize with ", "can relate to ",

c = ["you. ", "the feeling. ", " this feeling..", "your emotions.", "how you feel..", "what you're

Intervention in Practice





some things might be tough to comprehend but let's keep in mind there are people of flesh and blood on the other side



On the level of the subreddit r/MensRights

Phase	All Comments	Comments Containing Verbal Aggression	% of Comments Containing Verbal Aggression	Change in Relation to the Historical Phase
Historical (January-March 2019)	132 086	1 192	0.90%	0.00%
Adaptation (April-May 2019)	88 064	755	0.86%	-5.00%
Reduction (June-September 2019)	168 740	1 239	0.73%	-18.64%





Systematic analysis of behavior among users







Efforts to Make James More Human

- precise detection of personal attacks (93% precision)
- diverse and numerous interventions
- delayed responses
- humans commenting on subreddits where no treatment took place



It took 8 months to get James exposed



Reverse Turing Test Passed







What other people are saying or doing hard to get for some people harder to understand please remember there are

I am a neural network being trained to detect spammers | Summon me with !isbot <username> | r/spambotdetector | Optout | Original Github



Summary of James' Activity

- decreasing personal attacks level by 19% on r/MensRights
- positive influence on the behavior of users on the entire platform
- community acceptance
- private messages thanking James for his activity
- getting a nomination for r/MensRights moderator





Community Reception



thanks:

Permalink Delete Report Block User Reply

Le avenuet 22:56

Just wanted to say after seeing one of your comments today I took a look at your post history. Seems like a lot of what you do is remind people to be civil. Just wanted to say thanks, it's a nice thing you do.

Kindness:

from / E. J. Andrews with sent 9 hours ago

I appreciate the way you work to deescalate situations and keep conversations kind. :)

Permalink Delete Report Block User Reply

3 points · 9 hours ago

Hey man, I just went through your post history and I have to give you kudos for how you interact. Not demeaning, just kind and urging others to be kind also. Keep up the good work. You're not the hero we deserve, but you're the hero we need.

Reply Give Award Share Report Save



You have amazing patience and persistence. Please keep up the good work. :)

from /u and a station of sent 10 hours ago

Hey there, Noticed you regularly promote thoughtful and peaceful exchanges and leaning into complexity. Thank you!!

- s 1 point · 1 month ago
- I know I've been hard on you in the past with your messages, but thank you for what you're doing, trying to remind people there's another feeling human being on the other side of the conversation. You're honestly an inspiration.





samurai LABS

"a retard" ~ ThePigmanAgain



"as Mr. Rogers" ~ BanjoKaboley2

"someone who is extending an olive branch" ~ Canned_Refried_Beans

"someone who should put down the LSD" ~ Maito_Guy

"the whitest of knights" ~ Justadownvoteforyou

How Does the **Community Perceive** James Walker?

"a hippie" ~ Maito_Guy

"a good dude" ~ kvlka666

"as Mr. Mackey from South Park"

~ haikuCats









Collective Intelligence Experiment: Volunteers vs James





HARNESSING COLLECTIVE INTELLIGENCE *TO REDUCE* CYBERVIOLENCE & FOSTER HEALTHY CONVERSATIONS





Assumptions

Humans can be much more creative than machines







Assumptions

Humans can use their knowledge about the context





context / kontekst the words that co another word or a rstand the looking at its co



Assumptions

Collaboration between Humans + Machines might be the best fit







Experimental Design

- Field experiment on Reddit
- Two treatment conditions (empathy vs norm induction) and control group
- Participants: recidivists, regularly attacking others (at least 1 attack per week)
- 6 months period divided into three 2-months periods
- Volunteers recruited via social media posts





Attack means by group and period





Comment means by group and period





Humans vs Bots

creativity

efficiency and speed

well-being



uncertainty

suspicions about being a bot

engagement





Original Paper | Published: 11 November 2016 Tweetment Effects on the Tweeted: Experimentally **Reducing Racist Harassment**

Kevin Munger 🖂

Political Behavior 39, 629–649 (2017) Cite this article 19k Accesses 86 Citations 1551 Altmetric Metrics



https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11109-016-9373-5



Countering Hate Speech on Facebook: The Case of the Roma Minority in Slovakia

Jozef Miškolci, Lucia Kováčová, Edita Rigová

First Published August 2, 2018 Research Article https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439318791786

Article information ~





(R) Check for updates



https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0894439318791786



Counter-Speech: a Literature Review

DANGEROUS SPEECH PROJECT ...





https://dangerousspeech.org/counterspeech-a-literature-review/



Reality Check

Currently AI is only assisting moderators

Poor tech performance

Biases

Improper annotations



Lack of generalisability of models

Technical shortcomings

Lack of gold standard in dataset and taxonomies creation



Initiatives





HateCheck: Functional Tests for Hate Speech Detection Models

Paul Röttger, Bertie Vidgen, Dong Nguyen, Zeerak Waseem, Helen Margetts, Janet Pierrehumbert







Thank you!

Patrycja Tempska patrycja@samurailabs.ai





BACKUP SLIDES

Group 1	Group 2	df	t-ratio	р	p.adj.
Control	Empathetic	418	-0.577	0.565	0.565
Control	Normative	418	2.26	0.0242	0.0483

Estimated marginal means test results for differences in the counts of attacks.

Group	emmean	se	df	Conf. low	Conf. high	Method
Control	-2.27	1.11	418	-4.44	-0.0945	Emmeans test
Empatheti c	-0.345	1.50	418	-3.30	2.60	Emmeans test
Normative	-6.40	1.45	418	-9.26	-3.54	Emmeans test

Estimated marginal means for control and treatment groups for differences in the counts of attacks.





Change in attacks (standardized) vs interventions received sd restricted to (-2,2) sd restricted to (-1,1)















Community Reception

MotherThreweway204 1 point · 1 month ago
I completely agree. Given the nature of the internet such pleas are like trying to dry up the ocean with a hair dryer, but so what? Some losing battles are still worth fighting.







Personal attacks decrease user activity in social networking platforms 🖈

⁵ ⊠, Michał Wroczyński ^{c, 6} ⊠

Highlights

- Exploration of the effects of online personal attacks on victims' activity on social media (Reddit).
- First large-scale (150K users) analysis with a high-precision Artificial Intelligence system not based on self-reported data.
- Data analysis with classical statistical methods, Bayesian estimation, and model-theoretic analysis.
- Personal attacks received online significantly decrease victims' online activity.

Computers in Human Behavior Volume 126, January 2022, 106972





Rafal Urbaniak ^a 🎗 🖾, Michał Ptaszyński ^{b, 2} 🖾, Patrycja Tempska ^{c, 3} 🖾, Gniewosz Leliwa ^{c, 4} 🖾, Maciej Brochocki ^{c,}



Input text: ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...!





Input text: ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...!

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)

i can not believe he said you are an idiot.





Input text: ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...!

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)

#2: [Symbolic] Syntactic parsing





i can not believe he said you are an idiot.



ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...! Input text:

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)





i can not believe he said you are an idiot.

→ #3: [Symbolic] Identifying second person: "you" (subject)



Input text: ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...!

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)

#2: [Symbolic] Syntactic parsing



i can not believe he said you are an idiot.

- → #3: [Symbolic] Identifying second person: "you" (subject)
- → #4: [Symbolic] Identifying relation: "to be" (predicate) + no negation



ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...! Input text:

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)





i can not believe he said you are an idiot.

- #3: [Symbolic] Identifying second person: "you" (subject)
- ★ #4: [Symbolic] Identifying relation: "to be" (predicate) + no negation

#5: [Symbolic / Statistical] Recognizing if a related phrase or clause is abusive: "an idiot" (complement please, abusive)



ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...! Input text:

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)



+ "i can not believe" (main clause, potential counterfactual expression)



i can not believe he said you are an idiot.

- #3: [Symbolic] Identifying second person: "you" (subject)
- ★ #4: [Symbolic] Identifying relation: "to be" (predicate) + no negation

- ✤ #5: [Symbolic / Statistical] Recognizing if a related phrase or clause is abusive: "an idiot" (complement please, abusive)
- #6: [Symbolic] Verifying if a detected attack is not nested within a contradicting utterance: "he said" (dependent clause, reported speech)



ccant believ he sad ur an id10+...! Input text:

#1: [Symbolic + Statistical] Normalization + Correction

+ Transformation (e.g. coreference resolution)



#6: [Symbolic] Verifying if a detected attack is not nested within a contradicting utterance: "he said" (dependent clause, reported speech) + "*i can not believe*" (main clause, potential counterfactual expression)

#7: [Symbolic] Final decision (+ comparison to stated-of-the-art statistical approaches)

Text	
i can not believe he said you are an idiot	



i can not believe he said you are an idiot.

- #3: [Symbolic] Identifying second person: "you" (subject)
- ★ #4: [Symbolic] Identifying relation: "to be" (predicate) + no negation

✤ #5: [Symbolic / Statistical] Recognizing if a related phrase or clause is abusive: "an idiot" (complement please, abusive)

Samurai	System A	System Z
NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
SEEMS WRONG?	0.96	offensive: 0.99



Why Does Precision Matter?

Text	Samurai	System A	System Z
Life's a bitch!	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.96	offensive: 0.99
You are fucking awesome.	NO VIOLENCE SEEMS WRONG?	VIOLENCE 0.74	VIOLENCE sexually explicit: 0.92 sexually suggestive: 0.67 offensive: 0.99
What's your definition of slut?	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.95	offensive: 0.99
This game is the shit!	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.96	offensive: 0.83
You are never an asshole for speaking the truth	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.93	offensive: 0.99







Why Does Precision Matter?

Text	Samurai	System A	System Z
Life's a bitch!	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.96	offensive: 0.99
You are fucking awesome.	NO VIOLENCE SEEMS WRONG?	VIOLENCE 0.74	VIOLENCE sexually explicit: 0.92 sexually suggestive: 0.67 offensive: 0.99
What's your definition of slut?	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.95	offensive: 0.99
This game is the shit!	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.96	offensive: 0.83
You are never an asshole for speaking the truth	NO VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE	VIOLENCE
	SEEMS WRONG?	0.93	offensive: 0.99

If the bot had reacted to these comments:

- people would have been pissed off
- the bot would have been exposed in no time





