


Time of assesment of notifications

Number of notifications assessed within 24 hours




Number of total cases reported: 9593



Funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The European Union cannot be held responsible for them.

Removals per IT company





Number of total cases reported: 9593



Funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The European Union cannot be held responsible for them.

Number of notifications with no feedback



see


Number of total cases reported: 9593



Funded by
the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The European Union cannot be held responsible for them.

Grounds for reporting hate



Summary

The evaluation of hate speech comments on social media platforms is becoming more and more inconsistent. Reports on hate speech comments are being evaluated in prolonged periods. The user is not properly informed about the outcome of the report. A trusted flagger channel remains essential to take down illegal hate speech comments on Facebook. The rise in antisemitic, anti-Muslim and anti-Arab hate speech was reported in several countries. When no feedback is received at all from YouTube, Twitter/X and even Instagram, that is demotivating for regular users. Platforms vary in how they handle the reported content but in this period there has been a general decline in removal rates.

